

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/030,066	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BOHNEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Daniel S. Metzmaier	<b>Art Unit</b> 1712	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Daniel S. Metzmaier.

(2) C. James Bushman.

**Date of Interview:** 2 September 2004

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  
**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**  
 Rejection(s) discussed:  
*none.*  
 Claims discussed:  
*none.*  
 Prior art documents discussed:  
*none.*

**Part II.**  
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*discussed the basis for claim 38 as an original claim 9 in the PCT application. Discussed the basis in the specification for non-aqueous organic solvent. Discussed aquoxide as the same as a hydrate.*

**Part III.**  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** ~ 11:15 AM

  

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)